

CHAPTER I: FUNDAMENTALS

Section 1: Permutations

In this section you will learn about permutations. A *permutation* is simply a one-to-one, onto function from a nonempty set to itself. For example, the function $f : \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ defined by $f(1) = 2, f(2) = 4, f(3) = 3,$ and $f(4) = 1$ is a permutation on the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. The set of all permutations on this set is usually denoted by S_4 . Similarly, the set of all permutations on the set $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ is denoted by S_n .

The way I wrote the example permutation was a little bulky, and you can imagine that if the permutation was on the set of, say, 10 elements (or more), it could become quite laborious to write it in such a way. As we do often in mathematics, there is a shorthand version. I will illustrate it with an example.

Example 1: Suppose we have the above permutation $f : \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ defined by $f(1) = 2, f(2) = 4, f(3) = 3,$ and $f(4) = 1$. We can write this in the “two-line” format as follows: We form a 2×4 matrix in which the first row consists of the integers from 1 to 4. Beneath each element in the first row we write where that element is sent via the permutation. This forms the second row. So in the given example, the permutation on 4 elements would be written as
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

There is even a shorter version (called the *cycle notation*) of this notation we will usually utilize. (Don’t you love it? Mathematics has shorthand for the shorthand!) In this notation, you begin with the element 1 and follow it with where it is sent. In our example, that is 2. Then you next write where 2 is sent, namely 4. You continue until you get to the element that gets sent back to 1, which closes the notation. Notice that if an element is fixed by a given permutation, like 3 is in our example, it will not appear in cycle notation. If there are more elements remaining, we open another cycle and repeat until all non-fixed elements have been accounted for. For our example, we get the cycle $(1\ 2\ 4)$.

Example 2: Write the permutation
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 6 & 7 & 1 & 4 & 5 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$
 in cycle notation.

Since 1 goes to 6, 6 goes to 3, and 3 goes back to 1, the first cycle we get is $(1\ 6\ 3)$. But there are elements unaccounted for. The first elements not yet dealt with is 2. In the given permutation, 2 gets sent to 7 and 7 goes back to 2. So now we have $(1\ 6\ 3)(2\ 7)$. And that's it. Notice that since 4 and 5 are fixed by this permutation, they do not appear in the cycle notation.

Example 3: Write the two-line version of the permutation $(1\ 7\ 8\ 2)(3\ 5\ 6)(4\ 9)$.

Here it is:
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 7 & 1 & 5 & 9 & 6 & 3 & 8 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note: In this last example, since the biggest number appearing in the cycle notation was 9, I assumed that this permutation was on the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$. But since fixed elements do not appear in the cycle notation, it is possible that the given permutation was on a larger set and those larger numbers were all fixed. Fortunately, that doesn't cause a problem in practice.

Example 4: Write the two-line version of the permutation $(1\ 8\ 2)(3\ 7\ 4)$.

Here it is:
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 8 & 1 & 7 & 3 & 5 & 6 & 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If every element is fixed under a given permutation (called the *identity permutation*), we write its cycle notation as (1) . We could just as well call it (2) or (3) or any singleton. But we use (1) . If we have two permutations written back to back and wish to compute the “product” of the two, we perform the permutation on the right first. Here is an example.

Example 5: Compute $(1\ 3\ 4\ 5)(1\ 2\ 4\ 6)$.

If we “multiply” these together, beginning on the right, we see that 1 goes to 2, and then is fixed by the second permutation, so in the product 1 goes to 2. So we begin our answer by opening a cycle and writing $(1\ 2$. Now, we determine where 2 goes. The element 2 goes to 4 in the right permutation which is then sent to 5 in the left permutation, so in the product 2 is sent to 5. Our product now looks like $(1\ 2\ 5$. The right permutation takes 5 to itself and then the left one sends 5 back to 1. This

closes the cycle, giving us $(1\ 2\ 5)$. The first element not included is the element 3, so we open a new cycle with 3 and continue $(1\ 2\ 5)(3)$. The right permutation sends 3 to itself and then the left one sends it to 4. The element 4 gets sent to 6, which then goes to itself. Finally, 6 is sent to 1, which is then sent to 3, closing the second cycle. The result is the product $(1\ 2\ 5)(3\ 4\ 6)$. This seems lengthy, but with practice it becomes quite simple.

Definition: Let p be a permutation. Cycles are disjoint if they have no numbers in common. If p is a single cycle of length k , we call it a k -*cycle*. The *order* of a permutation is the smallest power of the permutation that equals the identity.

Example 6: (a) Examples 2, 3, and 4 all involved disjoint cycles. The cycles in Example 5 are not disjoint since the number 4 appears in both cycles.

(b) $(1\ 2\ 5)$ is a 3-cycle.

(c) The order of $(1\ 7\ 8\ 2)(3\ 5\ 6)(4\ 9)$ is 12 since

$[(1\ 7\ 8\ 2)(3\ 5\ 6)(4\ 9)]^{12} = (1)$. This is far from obvious, but we have the following facts.

Facts:(a) A k -cycle has order k .

(b) A product of disjoint cycles has order equal to the least common multiple of the lengths of the cycles.

So in our last example, I knew the order of $(1\ 7\ 8\ 2)(3\ 5\ 6)(4\ 9)$ was 12 since 12 is the least common multiple of 2, 3, and 4 (the lengths of the three cycles).

Theorem 1.1.1: Every permutation on the finite set $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ can be written uniquely as a product of disjoint cycles.

I will prove this theorem, but I will leave out some of the reasons. Your first exercise will be to supply the reasoning.

Proof: Let's do induction on the size of the set, n . If $n = 1$, then there is only one permutation, the identity, and it is clearly the product of disjoint permutations (trivially). Now suppose that every permutation on *fewer* than n elements is a product of disjoint cycles. Let p be a non-identity

permutation in S_n . Choose $x_0 \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ such that x_0 is not fixed by p . Define $x_1 = p(x_0)$, $x_2 = p(x_1)$, and so forth. There must be a number, k , such that $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$ are all distinct and $p(x_k) = x_0$. (Why?) Now consider these two subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$: $A = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$ and $B = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\} - A$. Note that $p(A) = A$ and $p(B) = B$. (Why?) Also note that if we restrict p to A , we get the k -cycle $(x_0 x_1 \cdots x_k)$ and if we restrict p to B , we get a product of disjoint cycles. (Why?) Therefore, p is the product of disjoint cycles. (Why?)

Problem Set #1

1. Explain the proof of Theorem 1.1.1 by answering the four “Why?” questions.
2. List all the elements of S_3 (i.e. all permutations of three elements).
3. Convert each permutation from two-line format to cycle or vice versa.

$$(a) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 & 6 & 7 & 5 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \quad (b) (134)(567)$$

4. The inverse of a permutation p is the permutation, denoted by p^{-1} , such that $pp^{-1} = (1)$. Find the inverse of each permutation.

$$(a) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 5 & 2 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \quad (b) (145)$$

5. Notice that $(145) = (15)(14)$ and $(1346) = (16)(14)(13)$. Show that any k -cycle can be written as a product of $(k - 1)$ 2-cycles.